Reviewer's report

Title: Outcome of a training program on research ethics among clinicians and scientists in a Nigerian university

Version: 2 Date: 30 September 2007

Reviewer: Wendy A Rogers

Reviewer's report:

General
The authors have addressed the revisions identified in my first review.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Nil

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
The paper requires minor revisions and checking for English language (eg on page 12, the word 'dissent' appears rather than 'consent'), and there are several minor punctuation or typographical errors.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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