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Dear Samia Hurst and Rudolf H ter Meulen,

Thank you for our new possibility to clarify our Manuscript. Please, read the comments below. Page numbers are cited related to changes in the Manuscript regarding your questions.

**Comments to Samia Hurst:**
1). Clarifications of the sampling technique. We have rewritten the method paragraph, Participants and setting. Changes are made at page 5, line 18-22 and page 5, line 1-3. Further, we have commented the sample under the heading Methodological consideration. Changes are made at page 22, line 9-15.

2. Clarifications of the distinction between the action and relational ethics, made by the authors and which you found rather arbitrary. Changes are made at page 8, line 3-6 and 8-9.

3. Clarification of the term justness. Changes are made at page 16, line 15-17.

**Comments to Rudolf H ter Meulen**
1). Concerning the action and relational ethical perspectives that are recommend to be incorporated into the discussion part. This is now performed. Changes are made at page 19, line 23 and page 20, line 1-15.

2. Concerning argumentation of the use phenomenological-hermeneutical method, this now is incorporated in the text and can be found under the heading Methodological consideration. Changes are made at page 22, line 9-15 and page 23, line 1-6.

Best regards
Anna-Greta Mamhidir, Mona Kihlgren and Venke Sorlie.