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Reviewer's report:

General
I feel that the authors have adequately responded to my original suggestions. In my view the paper is acceptable for publication.

Thank you.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached): NONE.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct): NONE.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore) NONE.

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests.