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Reviewer's report:

I would to thank the authors for responding so positively to my comments. I have reviewed the changes made, and am satisfied that all my comments have been addressed. I would suggest that the paper is subjected to copy editing for English language, as there are some linguistic oddities (although, as always, I am loath to be critical of this, as I could not hope to write a paper in a second language, and the standard of English is generally very good.)

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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