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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   Yes. The authors did well respond to the major concerns. They made appropriate correction and clarification. However, I cannot find the Ethical approval.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   Yes. It is OK. The limitation statement is now there.

3. Are the data sound? OK

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes.

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   Yes.

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? Yes.

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? Yes.

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes.

9. Is the writing acceptable?

I would suggest English revision to remove redundancy, and make the manuscript more “fluent”.