Reviewer's report

Title: Emerging issues in paediatric health research consent forms in Canada: working towards best practices

Version: 4 Date: 16 October 2012

Reviewer: Alan R Tait

Reviewer's report:

This is a much improved revision. The reduction in overall length makes the paper more readable without losing any significant content or message.

A few minor points:
Abstract, Methods: The first sentence is extremely long, suggest shortening.

Results (Pages 9, 10): I understand that this is a qualitative study but terms such as "several," "few," "most," and "majority" tell the reader nothing. I strongly suggest that you consider using actual percentages. You have the data so this should not be difficult.

Table 1: Suggest including percentages in addition to n.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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