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Reviewer’s report:

Discretionary Revisions

My main concerns regarding the original submission were that ethical concepts were not sufficiently distinguished and developed, and that the article was repetitive.

I think that the authors have improved the manuscript, but it could still benefit from further editing. In particular, it is still not clear why such a long scientific introduction is necessary, and the points about solidarity and balancing individual and public good are still repeated several times.

I think the other reviewers’ points were all very important, and I would like to see she is satisfied with the authors’ responses before publication of the article.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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