Reviewer's report

Title: Untapped ethical resources for neurodegeneration research

Version: 1 Date: 23 February 2011

Reviewer: Claire Kelly

Reviewer's report:

Untapped ethical resources for neurodegeneration research.

The manuscript entitled “Untapped ethical resources for neurodegeneration research” by Robillard et al is well presented. The manuscript is interesting to the field of neurodegeneration research as ethical issues are becoming increasingly important when applying for grants and for the purpose of disseminating information to the public, a requirement by many funding bodies and institutions.

There is clarity from the outset as to the approach taken and methods used. The data is well presented in a concise manner with clear tables, which makes it easy to see and understand. The statistical analysis has been carefully executed and is well presented making it easy to interpret.

The authors have made it clear in the discussion that there are limitations to the data and have highlighted further experimentation that needs to be done that would be outside the scope of this particular manuscript.

I recommend accepting this paper for publication with minor corrections.

Minor corrections:
1. page 4 line 8 spelling mistake – drugsm
2. page 11 line 11 spelling mistake – “more highly then men…”
3. for clarity purposes i suggest the first column of figure 3 be amended so that titles read better i.e change Traditional Research Ethics to Traditional research ethics, loosing the caps. This will define the lines more clearly.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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