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Reviewer's report:

The revised paper is an improvement and reads very well. I commend the authors for their clear writing style.

The introduction is still a bit too long, and much of the information may be better-suited for the discussion.

The discussion should also mention the fact that poster presented were participating in a year-long program to better their research skills. This would be a stated limitation to widespread applicability.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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