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Reviewer's report:

The question posed by the authors is well defined, clear and appropriate for the selected methodology. The methods appropriate and well described and appropriate and adequately described. The data is considered sound and well presented. However, many of the sources are older than five years. The manuscript adheres to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition. The section requiring more work is the discussion aspect. Some of the word count used in the background could have used in this section. Conclusions are appropriate and the limitations of the work are clearly stated. The authors do clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished. The title and abstract accurately convey what has been found and the writing standard is acceptable.

Overall I think this an acceptable manuscript suitable for publication. It is interesting and one of the few that has been researched on this topic.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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