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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor

I am most grateful for the positive reviews and for your decision. I am also grateful for the few and detailed comments. They have helped the revision and improved the manuscript. The comments have been handled in the following manner:

• Please also remove the 'Objectives' heading from the abstract. This section can be incorporated into the background. RESPONSE: This has been done.
• Manekin (introduction) and mannequin (page 8) both used – should stick to the same form. RESPONSE: This has been done.
• Page 6: “Even if the person might handle people’s heart failure adequately; we would rather have a paramedic or a physician to do the job).” -I suspect the author means heart attack, not heart failure, in which the use of a defibrillator would not be appropriate. RESPONSE: This has been done.
• Page 9: Missing word- “However, it may be hard to see how education in technology use in health care can BE effective and efficient ....” RESPONSE: This has been done.

A few other errors were also found and corrected, as well as improvement of the language at some crucial points.

Best regards

Bjorn Hofmann