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Reviewer's report:

Professional approaches in clinical judgements: a qualitative interview study among senior and junior doctors.

M. S. Nilsson and P. Ewa.

Comments on amendments in the light of original peer review.

This is a much improved articles which addresses most of the points raised – thank you. However, I still feel that the authors have not made clear what they see as the implications of their observations for clinical education. The authors do refer to the forthcoming retirement of many senior doctors (background) and to the use of these findings for ‘further professional development’ (conclusions and implications). However, I think that the implications of this study for clinical education still need to be made more explicit before the article is accepted for publication.

S. Buckley
March 09

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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