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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for the extensive revision of your paper and clarifying answers to the questions posed.

Q1. I would suggest to include test name and the results from the test on normal distribution in the paper.

Q2. The question posed at the end of the introduction might be misleading, since the paper is not about the development of the CAL package but about an intervention RCT with two groups with one group receiving this package. So the sentence "As well, the effectiveness of the CAL package in providing essential information about autism was evaluated." is the real main research question. Furthermore the comparison "information leaflet" and the main parameter for efficacy (1 of the 3 as previously chosen) are missing in the question.

The rest of my questions are sufficiently answered.

Kind Regards

Tobias Weberschock
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