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Dear Sir or Madam,

Please find attached our revised manuscript. This letter summarizes the minor essential revisions and discretionary revisions that were provided to us during the peer review stage, as well as how we incorporated this feedback into the revised manuscript.

1. Remove the authors' information section from your manuscript file (page 4)

This section has been moved to the correct section (after the “Authors’ Contributions”)

2. Document, within your manuscript, the name of the ethics committee which approved your study.

Done (p. 7).

3. Document, within your manuscript, whether you received informed consent from your study participants and if this was verbal or written.

Done (p. 7).

4. Include a 'Competing interests' section between the Conclusions and Authors' contributions. If there are none to declare, please write 'The authors declare that they have no competing interests'.

Done.

5. Include an Authors’ contributions section before the Acknowledgements and Reference list.

Done.

6. Please also ensure that your revised manuscript conforms to the journal style.

Done.
7. Minor essential revision from Reviewer #2: Introduction too long; some information could be integrated into the Methods section.

We have reviewed the Introduction and moved any possible information to the Methods section.

8. Please mention whether the ratings were done anonymously or not.

Done (pp. 9, 12).

Please don’t hesitate to contact me if you require any further information.

Sincerely,
Christopher Richards-Bentley