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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for asking me to re-review this paper. The authors have made significant changes that make the paper better to read. However, the paper still has the fundamental flaws of a lack of pre-intervention testing and significant volunteer bias. These seriously weaken the impact of this paper. The authors rightly point out that better studies are needed and would be better to present their findings from their planned future research.

What next?: Reject because scientifically unsound

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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