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Reviewer's report:

Overall I enjoyed reading this paper, the virtual labs described are clearly high-tech, interactive and pedagogically sound. The quality of presentation is high and, in truth, I can find very little wrong with the manuscript.

My main comments are that I think the manuscript would have been improved by a few more screen shots describing the exercises.

I may have missed it but I did not spot a legend for the figure that WAS included.

Could the authors describe in a little more detail how their evaluation was done. I mere reference to it "getting the highest marks" from a handful of students in a pilot study does not seem to do it justice. Also if further evaluations have been done in the meantime it would be nice to see them.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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