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Reviewer’s report:

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The focus of the work is clearly on evidence-based clinical medicine, not health care and any mixing of the two perspectives should be avoided (e.g. p 13, line 26).

"PICO" may be too narrow a concept. Sackett added a "T" for time of measurements (Clinical Epidemiology, 3rd edition, p. 70) and P could be divided into person and his/her illness.

In the last years it has become clear that the evaluation of effectiveness must, at least when "net benefit" is considered, incorporate evidence from sources other than RCTs, e.g. non-interventional studies to assess burdens and risks.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Will the access to all material be free? If not, what will be the conditions of use?

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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