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Reviewer's report:

General: The authors’ efforts to modify this manuscript along the lines suggested in the initial review are appreciated. As currently focused, the manuscript presents the ECE program in the context of a variety of approaches used to provide clinical relevance to, and thus reinforce basic science instruction. As such I believe the revised manuscript is more suitable for publication.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Careful attention needs to be paid to language, grammar, and syntax, as well as placement of footnotes etc.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

I would suggest the authors pay greater attention to the section on Future Directions. I would be particularly interested in seeing a more detailed description of how they might approach a more objective analysis of educational outcomes.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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