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Dear Dr Lolu da-Silva,

RE: Organizational Role Stress among Medical School Faculty Members in Iran: Dealing with Role Conflict. Revision of Manuscript # 1426049693119055

Thank you for offering us the opportunity to revise the above manuscript again. We appreciate the systematic and constructive comments by the reviewers and editor. We have revised the manuscript in light of your and reviewers’ comments and made any necessary changes to the format of paper. As a result, we provide a detailed summary of the changes made to the paper (found below).

Reference list is generated by “Reference Manager”, but field codes have been removed. The original version and its RM database will be available upon request.

Thank you for your acceptance of the paper. Please send any correspondence to Dr Soleiman Ahmady, soleiman.ahmady@lime.ki.se

Yours sincerely,

Mats Brommels MD, PhD
Tahereh Changiz MD, PhD
Italo Masiello PhD
Soleiman Ahmady MD
Reviewer’s report

Mark Linzer,
Thank you very much for the time spent reviewing our paper.

In response to the comments made by Mark Linzer, the following revision has been done on manuscript:

In the abstract conclusions section, there were some grammatical errors that all were corrected.
In order to improve the readability of paper, the discussion section paragraphs were shortened as much as possible.
In addition to the comment that we were given by Linzer, we would mention that in the methods section, we included a statement concerning the ethical approval, obtained by national Ethical Committee, together with a statement of an informed consent.