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Reviewer’s report:

General

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

The authors have made the necessary changes following my initial review. I think adding references to the abstract is a major compulsory revision and the manuscript should not be accepted until the references are added. I am not certain what the editorial rules are about adding references to the abstract, however, it is very clear that the work needs to be cited at the very onset of the paper. Thank you.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

"I declare that I have no competing interests."