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Reviewer's report:

General
I have with great interest re-read the manuscript. I now find the structure greatly improved, and the main messages are clearly developed from the results.

I have only two small comments:
The title should indicate “medical students”
The aims in abstract and main body of text should be identical.

With these two small changes, I will recommend publication of the manuscript.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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