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12 October, 2006

Dear Editors:

We appreciate the thoughtful and prompt reviews of our paper. We note that neither reviewer suggested major compulsory revisions.

Response to Minor Essential Revisions:

- Table 4 has been corrected to delete the extra “represents”.
- Item 10 in Appendix 2 has been corrected from H’DS to H/DS.
- We have clarified that in the univariate analysis, delivery strategy did not affect completion, but in the multivariable logistic regression, it did emerge as a significant predictor of completion.
- We have changed the sample module from “infant vitamins” to “nausea.”

Response to discretionary revisions:
We have altered the text to emphasize the educational focus of this work rather than a focus on complementary medicine or herbs and supplements per se.
We have added a sentence to the “limitations” paragraph in the discussion noting that this curricula focused on HDS, and might not be applicable to more conventional topics.

Thank you very much for your kind consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Kathi J Kemper, MD, MPH
Caryl J Guth Chair for Holistic and Integrative Medicine
Professor, Pediatrics and Public Health Sciences