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To

The Editor,
BMC Medical Education

Subject: Submission of revised article to the BMC Medical Education

Sir/Madam,

    We thank the referees for their time and constructive comments. We have attempted to address these in the revised manuscript and wish to resubmit the article titled ‘A critical evaluation of the electronic surgical logbook’.

    We have in particular made the changes (points 3-5) as suggested referee 2. Point 3 advises more details regarding the information recorded in the database and this has been added to the start of the result section (this would also be in keeping with the discretionary revision suggested by referee 1). Point 4 suggests a change in format and we have therefore included the matter subitled “hospitals”, “consultants”, “trainees” and “logbook fields” in the results section to address this. Point 5 is regarding the 2 trainees who were out of programme and submitted their logbook experience. The study leave was for a 1-year period and we have incorporated this information in the revised manuscript. We hope that the changes fully address the concerns of the referees.

Yours sincerely,

R Achuthan                      K Grover                                    J MacFie
Specialist Registrar                Staff Grade Surgeon                                Consultant Surgeon &
General Surgery & Research Fellow                              Regional Advisor in Surgery
(Yorkshire Region)                      Scarborough Hospital                          for Yorkshire