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Reviewer’s report:

General

This paper is much improved with the alterations.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The authors should give the paper a final proof reading as there are still a few typing errors, e.g. Ethics instead of Ethic approval on p1, and "there" instead of "their" in line 5 on p3, etc.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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