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Reviewer's report:

General

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

This is a well-written report, albeit on data from 2000. It would be interesting to know the number of respondents from each site. Also how they managed to get 100% response rate. Are the 206 respondents the full class? But worthy of publication.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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