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Reviewer's report:

General

Overall, the revisions to the manuscript in response to reviewer comments are satisfactory.

While this study focuses on the frequency with which resources were used by students, the issue of "how" the resources was beyond the scope of this paper. However I feel it is a weakness of the issue of "how" resources are used is never considered as a possible explanation for some of the findings or mentioned as a limitation of the study, or a next step for investigation.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

See "general" comments above.

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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