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Reviewer's report:

General Well-written paper.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

In the Methods Section the total number of preceptors is not noted. There were 19 respondents but the number of preceptors is not mentioned. It could be possible that the non-responders who could represent the majority of the preceptors were more likely to use the objectives in preparation of the learning experiences.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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