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Reviewer’s report:

General

In this further submission after a request for more information, the authors have kindly provided sufficient data to confirm that the intervention and control groups were similar at baseline. No further revisions are suggested nor required.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

None

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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