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Dear Editors,

The recommended formatting changes have been made to the manuscript as indicated below

Author list - The list of authors in the manuscript should be written exactly as they are in the submission system. The authors (forename, middle initial (if any), surname) should be written identically and in the order in which they are listed in the submission system.

References - References should be cited in the text using consecutive numbers in square brackets, rather than in superscript.

Section headings - Please use sentence case for all headings and sub-headings in the manuscript (i.e. remove all unnecessary capitals).

Conclusions - Please separate your discussion and conclusion.

Competing interests - Please move the ‘Competing interests’ section between the Conclusions and Authors’ contributions.

Details of funding - Please rename as 'Acknowledgements'.

References - Please move the References section to above the Tables section.

Tables - Please do not number the tables using Roman Numerals. Please rename all tables using standard numbers e.g. Table 1, Table 2 etc. Please also remember to change your references to the tables throughout the manuscript text.

In addition, the following statement was added to the conclusion

"This study has shown that electronic voting systems can provide a stimulating learning environment for students and in a small group tutorial may improve educational outcomes"

Yours sincerely
Edward Palmer, Peter Devitt, David Morris, Neville DeYoung