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Reviewer's report:

General

I have RE-reviewed this manuscript and my prior review. I find that the authors have addressed the major deficiencies. The paper addresses a relatively minor point and will represent a very minor contribution to medical science / education. However, if it fits in with your editorial outlook (which I assume it does in that you sent it out for review) I would publish it.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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