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Reviewer’s report:

General

I have reviewed the author’s response and I agree with their changes. The revised tables are very helpful. I agree that observational studies are needed in the literature. I think that incorporating their additional paragraph explaining the weaknesses is a good idea. Their 2 additional references are helpful. I would recommend publication.

Sincerely,
Bernard Karnath MD

-------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

-------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No