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Reviewer's report:

General

This is a second review based on modifications to the manuscript in response to reviewer comments. I am satisfied that the authors have responded appropriately to the concerns of the reviewers where a response was possible. The sample size is problematic but the authors have done what they can to address this limitation. The findings are sufficient interest to warrant publication.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes
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