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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions:

METHODS
1. Page 7 - reference to Qualtrics survey system. Please provide information on the system so that readers are aware of the system provider, location and system capabilities.

2. Using the Qualtrics system, how did you verify that participants completing the surveys were really who they said they were? Was there an authentication system for participants - please explain.

3. Page 7 - Two of the article authors participated in the study. While careful discussion noted lack of "experts", if authors participated it should be clear in the methods section why they participated. I am concerned this introduced a bias into the results.

NEXT STEPS
1. Page 14 The URL provided "as of July 2013" does not clearly lead to materials. Would provide a more explicit URL with the correct date of availability.

ETHICS APPROVAL
1. While the IRB deemed this study to be "excluded from human subjects research", did participants agree to participate in the study and have their names generally known as participants? A citation to such an agreement would be appropriate.

Minor Essential Revisions
1. Funding/Support - should include UL1 grant from NCATS rather than under acknowledgements.

2. Abstract results - Page 3 - A consensus exists on addressing problems caused by conflicts of interest..... existing wording is awkward.

3. Background - 8th line typo education should be educate

Overall - a critical topic. The article addresses next steps and some of the means by which the curriculum will be shared with the larger community. A further discussion of current technology in this regard would make the article more compelling.
**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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