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Author's response to reviews: see over
To,
The Editorial board
BMC Medical education

The comments have been addressed in a revised manuscript and this letter gives a point-by-point response to the concerns.

We are pleased to inform you that the editors have now decided to accept your manuscript. However, before we can proceed we will need you to make some changes to your manuscript. We strongly urge you to make these changes promptly, as we cannot proceed to the next process until we have received a version containing the changes.

Tables

Please move all the tables after the Reference section.

Moved

Authors' contributions

In order to give appropriate credit to each author of a paper, the individual contributions of authors to the manuscript should be specified in this section.

An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. To qualify as an author one should 1) have made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and 3) have given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. Acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not justify authorship.

We suggest the following kind of format (please use initials to refer to each author's contribution): AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. JY carried out the immunoassays. MT participated in the sequence alignment. ES participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FG conceived of the
study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

All contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an acknowledgements section. Examples of those who might be acknowledged include a person who provided purely technical help, writing assistance, or a department chair who provided only general support.

Authors' contributions changed to:-
MSw was involved in conception of the study, its design and conduction; performed data analyses and interpretation; drafted and critically reviewed the manuscript.

MS participated in the design and conduction of the study; performed data analyses and critical review of the manuscript.

AC participated in the design and conduction of the study; and critically reviewed the manuscript.

DC participated in the design and conduction of the study and data handling.

JBB was involved in conducting and critically reviewing the manuscript.

JM was involved in conception of the study, its design; interpretation of the data and critical review of the manuscript.

RATS guidelines

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies (http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#StandardsofReporting), could you please ensure your manuscript reporting adheres to RATS guidelines (http://www.biomedcentral.com/authors/rats) for reporting qualitative studies. This is so your methodology can be fully evaluated and utilised.
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