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**Reviewer’s report:**

The authors are to be commended for the study. I have the following suggestions:

**Major compulsory revision:**

1. What are the evidences of validity for the questionnaire? Since it is a new instrument (questionnaire) a detailed description of evidences for validity is essential to support inferences made from the answers to the questionnaire.
2. The authors need to highlight what "new" is being contributed by this study to the field?
3. Conclusion is to be drawn from the findings of the study. There are new things in the conclusion which are not part of the study.
4. What are the limitations of the study?

**Minor essential Revision:**

1. There are grammatical errors which need to be corrected

**Level of interest:** An article of limited interest

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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