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Dear Editor,

Subject: MS: 8039212461089370 - How satisfied are students with peer teaching in clinical communication skills?
Jonathan KA Mills, William J Dalleywater, Victoria Tischler

Thank you for the most helpful feedback given by the reviewers, which we have addressed, see details of our responses in red below. We have submitted a revised manuscript and hope that this meets with your approval. Thank you for your consideration and we look forward to hearing back from you.

Kind Regards,
Jonathan KA Mills
William J Dalleywater
Victoria Tischler

Reviewer's report
Title: How satisfied are students with peer teaching in clinical communication skills?
Version: 4
Date: 29 July 2014
Reviewer: Lezley-Anne Hanna

Reviewer's report:
The majority of comments have been addressed satisfactorily. There are only one or two minor things outstanding; the authors can be trusted to make these revisions without further peer review.

Minor Essential Revisions
(1) The references are still not written consistently. For example:
Ref 8: the year needs moved in line with all other refs.
Ref 5: the year, volume and pages are in italics, and shouldn't be.
Some refs (such as 10, 11, 16, 17, 19, 21, 30) have the year then a comma; other refs have year then a colon.
JM: Done
(2) Page with table: this states Table 1 and title at the top, but then also Fig 1 at the bottom of the page. However, this is probably just related to how it has been uploaded and won't be present in the actual publication.
JM: I can't see this in the manuscript- I believe as the reviewer points out may be related to the uploading process.

Discretionary Revisions:
(1) in the Results section, when discussing response rate, add the word 'groups' after 4.
JM: Done
(2) Ref 15: I think 'National Student Survey' should also be mentioned within the
reference - this title appears when you click on the link. Also, perhaps change the way the date is written to avoid any confusion (maybe include the word July).

JM: Done

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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