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Reviewer's report:

The authors have responded well to the comments given in the review.
I have an additional two minor essential points for revision:

1. In the discussion (second paragraph under interpretation of results, page 11) the text is somewhat self-contradictory. It says that the findings of an association between high emotional exhaustion and having children living at home is in line with studies showing that exhaustion is higher in those without children. This needs to be discussed.

2. Regarding the authors answer to number 7 in my previous review (Reviewer’s report 1) there are certainly recommendations on how to avoid collinearity problems in regression analyses. The correlations between predictors should not be higher than 0.80. See for example Field A (2009). Discovering statistics using SPSS. Los Angeles: SAGE. This should be addressed in the Methods section.
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