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Reviewer's report:

1) major compulsory revision, page 5, interviewees. Please clarify whether the trainees and trainers were interviewed individually or in trainee/trainer pairings.

2) major compulsory revision, page 8, ethical approval. Please rewrite this section with reference to the rules that apply in the jurisdiction in which the research was conducted regarding the review of research by ethical review bodies. Please also describe what safeguards were put in place to protect the interests of participants, particularly the trainees. For example, what were participants told about the sharing of their interview responses?

3) minor essential revision, page 11, replace 'conductive' with 'conducive'

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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