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Reviewer’s report:

I do not have any recommendations for improvement as the manuscript is both clear and concise with sufficient data. The conclusion should be modified: which kind of studies are from most scientific interest for the future?!

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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