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**Reviewer's report:**

Major Compulsory Revisions

See complete review for fuller context.

1. Results must be more thorough and detailed if the paper is to represent the "comprehensive" nature of the study that the authors intend.
2. A specific explanation of how the rating criteria were determined using cited sources must be included.
3. A more clear and detailed explanation of data collection and analysis must be included. This should include a discussion of considerations of inter-rater reliability and appropriate application of presentation of the data, statistical analysis and comparisons.
4. Sufficient annotation of figures and appropriately-constructed figure legends.
5. More specific focus on the novelty of the present study in comparison to previously published reports.

Minor Essential and Discretionary Revisions are of less relevance currently in light of the major revisions that should take place to enhance the manuscript's 'publishability'.
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