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Author's response to reviews: see over
Point-to-point reply “Acceptance of virtual dental implant planning software in an undergraduate curriculum: a pilot study”

Dear Editor,

based on the reviewers’ comments we are submitting a revised version of the above mentioned paper. All changes to the text have been marked with a yellow background.

**Reviewer: Ivan Darby**

The reviewer did not request changes.

**Reviewer: Ray Peterson**

*Minor editorial matters:*

*Page 4 line 14 should be ‘visualized’*

The correction has been made (Page 3 line 14).

*Page 6 line 3: Change ‘43’ to ‘Forty-three’*

The correction has been made (Page 5 line 3).

*Page 6 line 24: Delete ‘a’*

The correction has been made.

*Page 8 line 2: Sentence beginning with ‘The study...’ needs review. .....consisted out of???

‘Consisted out of’ was changed to ‘consisted of’ (Page 7 line 2).

*Page 8 line 7: Sentence beginning ‘The results....Table 1’. This is not correct with the change in Tables.

‘Table 1’ was changed to ‘Table 2’ (this change reflects the new numbering of the tables, Page 7 line 7).

*Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published.*

Proofreading has been carried out by ‘editage’ (online.editage.com).

We hope that the changes have been made to your and the reviewers’ satisfaction. Of course we are willing to include any additional change you might consider necessary.

Best regards,

E. Nkenke