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Author’s response to reviews:

Re: Manuscript 1759620427612887
“Telephone referral education, and evidence of retention and transfer after six-months”

Dear Editor,

Many thanks for the additional comments on our manuscript to improve readability. The two points mentioned have been addressed in the paper and are explained below.

1) Within the text, it is not clear how many students participated in the original study, and what the students who were in the control group experienced - the authors rely on Figure 2 to explain this. I think it will help the reader better understand the number of students reported in the results if some of what is presented in Figure 2 is briefly mentioned in the text. (The sentence presented in the letter responding to the reviewers was helpful to me.)

Further explanation and signposting of figure 2 has been added to both the Methods section (P6 L25 – P7 L2 and P7 L14-15) and Results section (P8 L 7-9)

2) A sentence about what constitutes a "content score" and what constitutes a "clarity score" would be helpful for the reader. (For readers unfamiliar with the earlier work cited by the authors, what these scores are measuring is not really clear).

Two sentences have been added to the Methods section to describe the scores used (P7 L7-11)