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Reviewer's report:

Major compulsory revision
1) The data is sound but there is an inadequate number for any form of statistical analysis and this should be removed to just report on the trends and then conclusions drawn. The paper would then have a better balance and not pretend to be something that it isn't. This is a well thought out study but is limited in its size and therefore its conclusions.

Minor Essential Revision
2) The methodology is sound and well described although there are improvements to be made in transcription into English from Swedish.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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