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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have provided satisfactory adjustments to the manuscript and I feel it should be published. There is one sentence in the newly submitted material that reads poorly.

"Thus, in view of the unusual settings, we could not compare comparison of costs incurred per capita for the two training formats."

I do think this should be corrected.
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