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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor

We have revised the text in the abstract so that statements start with text rather than numbers. There are two statements in the abstract that have been corrected and highlighted in blue:

• Sixty four percent (677/1054) of participants completed both knowledge tests.
• Seventy seven percent (218/284) of the intervention group reported combining the DNAT with the recommended reading materials was “very useful”/“useful”.

We hope that you are now satisfied with the revisions.

Kind regards

Sara