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Reviewer's report:

The paper is essentially improved and most of the relevant points from the last review seem to have been addressed.

1. Minor Essential Revision:
However, many of the sentences are quite long, and also, some contain many clauses. Together these aspects reduce the readability of the paper. Accordingly, I find the paper in need of some further editing.

2. Minor Essential Revision
In the first sentence of the Discussion, reference is made to “first-year, doctoral-level dental students” while elsewhere the participants are referred to as first year students. If the participants are doctoral students, I find that this should be explicitly mentioned in the method section and not come as a surprise in the Discussion. Otherwise, the word “doctoral” should be removed from this first sentence of the Discussion.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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