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Title: ‘Global Health Education: a cross-sectional study among German medical students to identify needs, deficits and potential benefits (Part 1 of 2: Mobility patterns & educational needs and demands)’

Dear Editors,

The authors have revised the misspellings and grammatical issues in light of the reviewer’s comments. Please find below a table which contains the authors’ point-by-point responses to the reviewers’ comments on Part 1 of the series. All changes in the manuscript are highlighted in yellow.

The corresponding author has paid special attention to the request of making sure that the main text, tables and especially the figures comply with the journal’s style (the latter especially in terms of narrow cropping and font size). If there are still open issues, kindly let us know what exactly does not conform to the requirements, so that we can respond more adequately to your concerns.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if there are any questions regarding the most recent revision. We are very much looking forward to receiving the journal’s final decision on the manuscript.

Yours sincerely,

Kayvan Bozorgmehr
### Title: Global Health Education: a cross-sectional study among German medical students to identify needs, deficits and potential benefits

(Part 1 of 2: Mobility patterns & educational needs and demands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer's comments</th>
<th>Authors’ comments / changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Minor Essential Revisions  
On page 19, line 4 of the 3rd paragraph, I suspect the authors meant “…in courses of tropical medicine amongst our (not “your”) sample….”.  
We thank the reviewer for identifying this misspelling. The reviewer was of course right in her assumption. The word has been adjusted accordingly (“our” instead of “your”). |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer’s comments</th>
<th>Authors’ changes / comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| There are a few minor grammatical issues, such as sentences with two periods at the end. Otherwise, the grammar is much improved compared to the initial draft.  
We thank the reviewer for her attention on this issue. The authors have identified a sentence with two periods on p.8, para.1, last sentence. We have once again proof-read the manuscript and have adjusted minor punctuations and commas elsewhere (highlighted in yellow). |
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