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Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: Graduates from a reformed undergraduate medical curriculum based on *Tomorrow’s Doctors* evaluate the effectiveness of their curriculum 6 years after graduation. MS: 1113618651388581.

I have uploaded a revised version of the paper above and this letter indicates how I have addressed the reviewers’ reports.

**Wolfram Antepohl’s comments:**
Re comment 6, and how and why the prior objective were chosen., the 2nd paragraph on page 7 has been rewritten.

Comment 8. I have also added “through interviews” to the title in response to the comment about the title of the paper.

Minor revisions:
I have changed the sentence on page 10 beginning “although the majority..” so it makes sense.

In the discussion line 1, sentence 2 (page 19) I have changed interviews to interviewees.

In lin7 of the discussion I have put in the (commonly) accepted minimum amount…

**John McLachlan’s comments**
I have changed RMC and TMC for Reformed Medical Curriculum and Traditional Medical Curriculum and GP for General Practitioner where appropriate throughout the paper.
I have changed the sentence on page 10 beginning “although the majority..” so it makes sense.

Re his comment about the statement “A good knowledge of physiology may help with understanding..” on page 21 has been re written in accordance with the reviewers wishes.

I have read the following on qualitative research: 
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/ifora/rats and can confirm that the paper confirms to research review guidelines. The interview questions are included in the paper, but if you require them as a separate document then please do not hesitate to contact me.

I would be willing to make any further changes to the paper as required by the editors or reviewers. The changes are marked with “track changes” on the document as requested.

Yours faithfully

Simon Watmough