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Reviewer's report:

1. Major Compulsory Revisions
1. There is a need for a specific introduction. By launching into the literature review immediately, the reader has to work a bit to understand the context. The purpose and focus of the paper should be covered in an introduction. The research questions do not appear until page 6.
2. More acknowledgements is needed that this is a single case study representing a first cohort. There is a tendency to generalise the findings. It would also be strengthened if the 2009 cohort had been surveyed in a similar fashion and the findings compared/ contrasted.
3. The title should be developed to include the location of the study. It currently does not reflect that the two areas of focus were the donated laptops and pc applications.

2. Minor Essential Revisions

Paper needs to be checked for typos etc (eg p16 line 6, ‘was’ to be changes to ‘ways’)

3. Discretionary Revisions

It would have been good to see some attempt to present the quantitative data more imaginatively. There is also a tendency to use quotes more than necessary (e.g. p10). There may be some value in expanding the number of quotes as a separate appendix
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