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Reviewer's report:

Interesting concept. Results are not too unexpected. Comment on backing up work in 'Results' on page 7 comes a bit out of the blue. On page 10 a student refers to 'Atom' - this doesn't seem to be further defined. On page 11 I don't really understand the meaning of 're-image' in the student's comments.

It would be nice to see some comments in the Discussion suggesting how the students' suggestions/concerns had been/would be addressed. This would add far more value to the article.
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